

MINIMAL SURFACES IN S^3 FOLIATED BY CIRCLES

N. KUTEV AND V. MILOUSHEVA

ABSTRACT. We deal with minimal surfaces in the unit sphere S^3 , which are one-parameter families of circles. Minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ foliated by circles were first investigated by Riemann, and a hundred years later Lawson constructed examples of such surfaces in S^3 . We prove that in S^3 there are only two types of minimal surfaces foliated by circles, crossing the principal lines at a constant angle. The first type surfaces are foliated by great circles, which are bisectrices of the principal lines, and we show that these minimal surfaces are the well-known examples of Lawson. The second type surfaces, which are new in the literature, are families of small circles, and the circles are principal lines. We give a constructive formula for these surfaces. An application to the theory of minimal foliated semi-symmetric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$ is given.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper we deal with minimal surfaces in the unit sphere S^3 in the four-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^4$, equipped with the standard Euclidean metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. A surface M^2 in S^3 is given by a unit vector-valued function $l(u, v)$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$, defined in a domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, i.e.

$$l(u, v) = (l^1(u, v), l^2(u, v), l^3(u, v), l^4(u, v)), \quad (u, v) \in \mathcal{D},$$

where $\langle l(u, v), l(u, v) \rangle = 1$, $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}$. Since our considerations are local, we assume that the parameters (u, v) are isothermal (conformal) ones, which means that $\langle l_u, l_u \rangle = \langle l_v, l_v \rangle$; $\langle l_u, l_v \rangle = 0$.

The minimal surfaces in S^3 are determined by the solutions $l = l(u, v)$ of the following system of partial differential equations

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta l + |\nabla l|^2 l &= 0; \\ \langle l_u, l_u \rangle &= \langle l_v, l_v \rangle; \quad \langle l_u, l_v \rangle = 0; \quad \langle l, l \rangle = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where ∇ and Δ denote the gradient and the laplacian operators, respectively, computed with respect to the Euclidean metric in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

System (1.1) is the Euler - Lagrange system of harmonic maps, which has been intensively investigated in the last decades by variational methods (see for example [5], [6], [7], [8], [14] and the references there).

Our aim is to find the minimal surfaces in S^3 , that satisfy a certain geometric property: locally they are one-parameter families of circles. The variational methods cannot be applied for studying the geometric structure of the minimal surfaces, that is why we use a different method, which is based rather on the differential geometry of surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$ than on PDE methods.

It is well known that the only minimal rotational surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is the catenoid, which is a surface fibred by circles in parallel planes. Other minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$, which are foliated by circles in parallel planes, were discovered by Riemann [13]. They are usually referred in the literature as *Riemann examples*. Enneper [2] proved that catenoids and Riemann

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53A10; 35J60; Secondary 53A07; 35A07.

Key words and phrases. minimal surfaces in three-dimensional sphere; Clifford torus; Lawson tori; non-linear elliptic systems; harmonic maps; foliated semi-symmetric hypersurfaces; minimal hypersurfaces.

examples are the only minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ foliated by circles. A surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$, which is determined by a smooth one-parameter family of circles is also called a cyclic surface. Cyclic surfaces of constant mean curvature and cyclic surfaces of constant Gauss curvature in $\mathbb{R}^3$ are described in [12] and [11].

Our idea to find the minimal surfaces in S^3 , which are one-parameter family of circles, is motivated by what happens for cyclic minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

A well known example of a minimal surface in S^3 is the Clifford torus (the standard flat torus), which consists of two orthogonal families of circles. Generalizations of the Clifford torus are the so called Lawson tori [10]. They have two orthogonal families of parametric lines, one of them consists of circles, and the other one consists of curves with constant Frenet curvatures in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

The circles on the Lawson torus cross the principal lines at an angle $\frac{\pi}{4}$. In this paper we find all minimal surfaces in S^3 , which are one-parameter families of circles, crossing the principal lines at a constant angle. We call these surfaces *generalized tori*. In Theorem 2.2 we prove that there are only two types of generalized tori in S^3 : the first one is characterized by the condition that the circles are bisectrices of the principal lines (we call these surfaces *generalized tori of first type*), and the second one is characterized by the condition that the circles are principal lines (we call them *generalized tori of second type*). We show that all generalized tori of first type are Lawson tori (Theorem 2.3). In Theorem 2.4 we give a constructive formula for the generalized tori of second type.

In Section 3 we point out the relation between the theory of minimal surfaces in S^3 and the theory of minimal foliated semi-symmetric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$. Each minimal surface in S^3 generates a minimal foliated semi-symmetric hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^4$ according to a special construction given in [4]. We illustrate how this construction can be applied to two examples of minimal surfaces in S^3 for obtaining the first and the second type helicoids, which are special minimal foliated semi-symmetric hypersurfaces. We also apply the construction to a class of generalized tori of second type, which are new surfaces in the literature, and thus we obtain new minimal foliated semi-symmetric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

2. GENERALIZED TORI IN S^3

Let $M^2 : l = l(u, v)$, $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}$ be a surface, parameterized by isothermal parameters, and lying on the unit sphere S^3 in $\mathbb{R}^4$, i.e. the vector-valued function $l(u, v)$ satisfies the equalities:

$$(2.1) \quad \langle l_u, l_u \rangle = \langle l_v, l_v \rangle = E(u, v); \quad \langle l_u, l_v \rangle = 0; \quad \langle l, l \rangle = 1.$$

Since l, l_u, l_v are mutually orthogonal, there exists a unique (up to a sign) unit vector field $n(u, v)$, such that $\{l, l_u, l_v, n\}$ form an orthogonal basis in $\mathbb{R}^4$. Differentiating the equalities (2.1), we get the following derivative formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} l_{uu} &= \frac{E_u}{2E} l_u - \frac{E_v}{2E} l_v - E l + a_{11} n; \\ l_{uv} &= \frac{E_v}{2E} l_u + \frac{E_u}{2E} l_v + a_{12} n; \\ l_{vv} &= -\frac{E_u}{2E} l_u + \frac{E_v}{2E} l_v - E l + a_{22} n, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{ij}(u, v)$, $i, j = 1, 2$ are functions defined in $\mathcal{D}$. Hence,

$$(2.2) \quad l_{uu} + l_{vv} + 2E l = (a_{11} + a_{22}) n.$$

M^2 is a minimal surfaces in S^3 if and only if $a_{11} + a_{22} = 0$. Equality (2.2) implies that M^2 is minimal if and only if $l_{uu} + l_{vv} + 2El = 0$.

Consequently, the problem of finding the minimal surfaces in S^3 is equivalent to the solvability of the following system

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta l(u, v) + 2E(u, v) l(u, v) &= 0; \\ \langle l_u, l_u \rangle &= \langle l_v, l_v \rangle = E(u, v); \quad \langle l_u, l_v \rangle = 0; \quad \langle l, l \rangle = 1 \end{aligned}$$

with an appropriate C^∞ smooth scalar function $E(u, v) > 0$ in a small neighbourhood $\mathcal{D}$ of the origin.

Let us note that according to the theorem of Hélein (see [5], p. 346) the solutions of system (1.1) (and hence of (2.3)) are C^∞ smooth, because $\mathcal{D}$ is a two-dimensional domain.

Now let $M^2 : l = l(u, v)$ be a minimal surface in S^3 . Then the derivative formulas of M^2 are as follows:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} l_{uu} &= \frac{E_u}{2E} l_u - \frac{E_v}{2E} l_v - El + an; \\ l_{uv} &= \frac{E_v}{2E} l_u + \frac{E_u}{2E} l_v + bn; \\ l_{vv} &= -\frac{E_u}{2E} l_u + \frac{E_v}{2E} l_v - El - an, \end{aligned}$$

where $a(u, v)$ and $b(u, v)$ are functions in $\mathcal{D}$. The derivatives n_u and n_v of $n(u, v)$ satisfy

$$(2.5) \quad n_u = -\frac{a}{E} l_u - \frac{b}{E} l_v; \quad n_v = -\frac{b}{E} l_u + \frac{a}{E} l_v.$$

Using the Gauss and Codazzi equations (or equivalently the identities of the mixed third derivatives of $l(u, v)$ and mixed second derivatives of $n(u, v)$) from (2.4) and (2.5) we get that the functions $a(u, v)$ and $b(u, v)$ are harmonic functions, satisfying the Cauchy - Riemann conditions:

$$b_u(u, v) = a_v(u, v); \quad b_v(u, v) = -a_u(u, v).$$

The Gauss and Codazzi equations for M^2 also imply the identity

$$(2.6) \quad a^2 + b^2 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta E - \frac{E_u^2 + E_v^2}{2E} + E^2.$$

As it is well known the Gauss curvature K of M^2 is given by

$$(2.7) \quad K = \frac{E_u^2 + E_v^2}{2E^3} - \frac{\Delta E}{2E^2} = -\frac{1}{2E} \Delta \ln E.$$

Hence, equalities (2.6) and (2.7) imply that the Gauss curvature K is expressed by the functions a and b as follows:

$$(2.8) \quad K = 1 - \frac{a^2 + b^2}{E^2}.$$

The simplest case, in which problem (2.3) can be solved completely, is the case $K = \text{const.}$ In [9] it is proved that: *If M^2 is a minimal surface in S^3 of constant Gauss curvature K , then either $K = 1$ and M^2 is totally geodesic, or $K = 0$ and M^2 is an open piece of the Clifford torus.*

From (2.8) it follows that the case M^2 is totally geodesic in S^3 (i.e. M^2 is a sphere with radius 1) corresponds to $a = b = 0$. Further on we shall consider only the case $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$.

Let us recall that the Clifford torus is a surface in $\mathbb{R}^4$, parameterized as follows:

$$\mathcal{M} : l(u, v) = (\cos u \cos v; \cos u \sin v; \sin u \cos v; \sin u \sin v).$$

A direct computation shows that $l(u, v)$ satisfies the equality $l_{uu} + l_{vv} + 2l = 0$, and $\langle l_u, l_u \rangle = \langle l_v, l_v \rangle = 1$; $\langle l_u, l_v \rangle = 0$; $\langle l, l \rangle = 1$. The parametric lines $u = \text{const}$ and $v = \text{const}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ are circles.

Another example of minimal surfaces in S^3 , which is a generalization of the Clifford torus, is given in [10]. H. B. Lawson proved that every "ruled" minimal surface in S^3 is an open submanifold of one of the surfaces $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ given by

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{M}_\alpha : l(x, y) = (\cos x \cos \alpha y; \cos x \sin \alpha y; \sin x \cos y; \sin x \sin y)$$

for some constant $\alpha > 0$. Here "ruled" means one-parameter family of great circles in S^3 . The surface $\mathcal{M}_1$ is the Clifford torus, and it is the only surface $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ with constant Gauss curvature (see [9]). We shall call the surfaces $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ ($\alpha \neq 1$) *Lawson tori*.

The tangent space of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ is spanned by the vector fields

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} l_x(x, y) &= (-\sin x \cos \alpha y; -\sin x \sin \alpha y; \cos x \cos y; \cos x \sin y), \\ l_y(x, y) &= (-\alpha \cos x \sin \alpha y; \alpha \cos x \cos \alpha y; -\sin x \sin y; \sin x \cos y); \end{aligned}$$

and the coefficients E, F, G of the first fundamental form of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ are given by $E = 1$; $F = 0$; $G = G(x) = \alpha^2 \cos^2 x + \sin^2 x$.

Using (2.9) and (2.10) we find the unit normal vector field $n(u, v)$ of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ orthogonal to $\{l, l_u, l_v\}$:

$$n(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 \cos^2 x + \sin^2 x}} (\sin x \sin \alpha y; -\sin x \cos \alpha y; -\alpha \cos x \sin y; \alpha \cos x \cos y).$$

A direct computation shows that the Gauss curvature of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ is given by

$$K = 1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha^2 \cos^2 x + \sin^2 x)^2}$$

and obviously $K \neq \text{const}$ when $\alpha \neq 1$.

Let us consider the Lawson torus $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \neq 1$. In such case the parametric lines $y = y_0 = \text{const}$ of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ are circles, while the parametric lines $x = x_0 = \text{const}$ are curves in $\mathbb{R}^4$ with constant Frenet curvatures.

The parametrization (2.9) of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ is not an isothermal one. We shall find isothermal parameters of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$, which are also principal parameters of the surface. Let us consider the following change of the parameters:

$$\bar{u} = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{G(\tau)}} d\tau; \quad \bar{v} = v.$$

Then we obtain

$$\bar{E} = \bar{G} = G(x(\bar{u})); \quad \bar{F} = 0,$$

i.e. the parameters $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ are isothermal. A direct computation shows that the vector-function $l(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ satisfies the system:

$$l_{\bar{u}\bar{u}} = \frac{\bar{E}_{\bar{u}}}{2\bar{E}} l_{\bar{u}} - \bar{E} l; \quad l_{\bar{u}\bar{v}} = \frac{\bar{E}_{\bar{v}}}{2\bar{E}} l_{\bar{v}} + \alpha n; \quad l_{\bar{v}\bar{v}} = -\frac{\bar{E}_{\bar{v}}}{2\bar{E}} l_{\bar{u}} - \bar{E} l.$$

Hence, for the Lawson torus $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ the functions a and b in formulas (2.4) are $a = 0$, $b = \alpha = \text{const}$, and $\bar{E} = \bar{E}(\bar{u})$. The circles on $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ are the parametric $\bar{u}$ -lines.

If we change the isothermal parameters $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ with isothermal parameters (u, v) in the following way

$$u = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\bar{u} + \bar{v}); \quad v = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\bar{u} - \bar{v}),$$

then $\tilde{E}(u, v) = \langle l_u, l_u \rangle = \langle l_v, l_v \rangle = \tilde{G}(u, v)$, and $l(u, v)$ satisfies (2.4) with $a = \alpha$, $b = 0$, and $\tilde{E}$ instead of E .

With respect to (u, v) the surface $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ is parameterized by principal lines, i.e. the shape operator, corresponding to the normal vector field $n(u, v)$, is in diagonal form. The circles on $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ are bisectrices of the principal lines.

Now we shall find all minimal surfaces in S^3 , which are one-parameter families of circles, crossing the principal lines under a constant angle. We call these surfaces *generalized tori* in S^3 . They generalize the Lawson tori.

Proposition 2.1. *Let M^2 be a minimal surface in S^3 with non-constant Gauss curvature. Then M^2 can locally be parameterized by principal lines, and the new parameters are isothermal ones.*

Proof. Let $M^2 : l = l(u, v)$, $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}$ be a minimal surface in S^3 , parameterized by isothermal parameters. Then the derivative formulas (2.4) of M^2 hold good, and the functions $a(u, v)$ and $b(u, v)$ are harmonic functions, satisfying the Cauchy - Riemann conditions. In case of $b(u, v) \equiv 0$ the parameters (u, v) are principal ones. Let $b(u_0, v_0) \neq 0$, $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathcal{D}$. Then there exists $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}$ such that $b(u, v) \neq 0$ for all $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_0$. We shall prove that there exist isothermal parameters (x, y) such that $\bar{b}(x, y) = \langle l_{xy}, n \rangle = 0$. If

$$x = x(u, v); \quad y = y(u, v)$$

is a holomorphic change of the parameters $(x(u, v)$ and $y(u, v)$ satisfy the Cauchy - Riemann conditions), then

$$\bar{b}(x, y) = 2au_xu_y - b(u_x^2 - u_y^2).$$

Hence,

$$\bar{b} = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad b \left(\frac{u_x}{u_y} \right)^2 - 2a \left(\frac{u_x}{u_y} \right) - b = 0.$$

From the inverse change of the parameters, using the Cauchy - Riemann conditions, we have $x_u = \frac{u_x}{u_x^2 + u_y^2}$, $x_v = -\frac{u_y}{u_x^2 + u_y^2}$, and hence we obtain that $\bar{b} = 0$ if and only if

$$b \left(\frac{x_u}{x_v} \right)^2 + 2a \left(\frac{x_u}{x_v} \right) - b = 0,$$

i.e. $\frac{x_u}{x_v} = \frac{-a \pm \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}{b}$. We denote $\beta(u, v) = \frac{-a + \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}{b}$, $\gamma(u, v) = \frac{-a - \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}{b}$.

Now, let us consider the equations

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{dv}{du} = \beta(u, v); \quad \frac{dv}{du} = \gamma(u, v).$$

For each point $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathcal{D}_0$ there exists $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ and functions $\Phi(u, v) \neq 0$, $\Psi(u, v) \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{D}_1$, such that the integral curves of the first equation in (2.11) are given by $\Phi(u, v) = \text{const}$, while the integral curves of the second equation in (2.11) are $\Psi(u, v) = \text{const}$. Hence,

$$(2.12) \quad \Phi_u = -\beta\Phi_v, \quad \Psi_u = -\gamma\Psi_v.$$

We consider the following smooth change of the parameters:

$$(2.13) \quad x = \Phi(u, v), \quad y = \Psi(u, v); \quad (u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_1.$$

When (u, v) runs in $\mathcal{D}_1$ the parameters (x, y) describe a domain $\bar{\mathcal{D}} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Now $x_u = \Phi_u$; $x_v = \Phi_v$; $y_u = \Psi_u$; $y_v = \Psi_v$. Using that $\beta\gamma = -1$ and equalities (2.12), we get $\langle l_x, l_y \rangle = 0$, i.e. the parametrization (x, y) is orthogonal one. We shall prove that this parametrization is isothermal. With respect to the new parameters the coefficients of the first fundamental

form are $E = \langle l_x, l_x \rangle$, $F = \langle l_x, l_y \rangle = 0$, and $G = \langle l_y, l_y \rangle$. Then for the surface M^2 the following derivative formulas hold:

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} l_{xx} &= \frac{E_x}{2E} l_x - \frac{E_y}{2E} l_y - E l + a n; \\ l_{xy} &= \frac{E_y}{2E} l_x + \frac{G_x}{2G} l_y; \\ l_{yy} &= -\frac{G_x}{2G} l_x + \frac{G_y}{2G} l_y - G l - a n, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.15) \quad n_x = -\frac{a}{E} l_x; \quad n_y = \frac{a}{G} l_y.$$

Taking into account the second fundamental form of M^2 as a surface in $\mathbb{R}^4$, from (2.14) we calculate that the Gauss curvature K is given by

$$(2.16) \quad K = 1 - \frac{a^2}{EG}.$$

Using that $n_{xy} = n_{yx}$, $l_{xxy} = l_{xyx}$, $l_{xyy} = l_{yyx}$, from (2.14) and (2.15) we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad a_x = 0; \quad a_y = 0; \quad (E - G)E_y = 0; \quad (E - G)G_x = 0;$$

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{E_{yy}}{2E} + \frac{G_{xx}}{2G} - \frac{3E_y^2}{4E^2} - \frac{G_x^2}{4G^2} - \frac{E_x G_x - E_y G_y}{4EG} + E - \frac{a^2}{G} &= 0; \\ \frac{E_{yy}}{2E} + \frac{G_{xx}}{2G} - \frac{3G_x^2}{4G^2} - \frac{E_y^2}{4E^2} + \frac{E_x G_x - E_y G_y}{4EG} + G - \frac{a^2}{E} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If we assume that $E(x_0, y_0) - G(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ at some point $(x_0, y_0) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}$, and hence $E - G \neq 0$ in a neighbourhood $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ of (x_0, y_0) , then from (2.17) we get $E_y = G_x = 0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_0$. Now equalities (2.16), (2.17), and (2.18) imply that $K = 0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_0$, which contradicts the assumption in the theorem. Hence, $E - G \equiv 0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$. Consequently, the parameters (x, y) , defined by change (2.13), are principal ones. $\square$

Now let $M^2 : l = l(u, v)$ be a minimal surface in S^3 , parameterized locally by isothermal principal parameters, i.e. $b = 0$. Using that $b_u = a_v$, $b_v = -a_u$, we get $a = \text{const}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $a = 1$ (if $a \neq 1$, we multiply the parameters by $\sqrt{|a|}$). Hence, the derivative formulas (2.4) and (2.5) hold with $a = 1$, $b = 0$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let M^2 be a minimal surface in S^3 with non-constant Gauss curvature. If on M^2 there exists a family of circles, crossing the principal lines under a constant angle θ , then the circles are either principal lines ($\theta = 0$ or $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$) or bisectrices of the principal lines ($\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$ or $\theta = \frac{3\pi}{4}$).*

Proof. Let $M^2 : l = l(u, v)$ be parameterized locally by principal parameters. Suppose that on M^2 there exists a family of circles, crossing the principal lines under a constant angle θ . Let

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} x &= \cos \theta u + \sin \theta v; \\ y &= -\sin \theta u + \cos \theta v; \end{aligned} \quad \theta = \text{const}, \quad \theta \in [0; 2\pi).$$

Then from (2.19) we get

$$(2.20) \quad E_x = \cos \theta E_u + \sin \theta E_v; \quad E_y = -\sin \theta E_u + \cos \theta E_v.$$

Using (2.4) with $a = 1$, $b = 0$, and (2.20) we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 l_{xx} &= \frac{E_x}{2E} l_x - \frac{E_y}{2E} l_y - E l + \cos 2\theta n; \\
 l_{xy} &= \frac{E_y}{2E} l_x + \frac{E_x}{2E} l_y - \sin 2\theta n; \\
 l_{yy} &= -\frac{E_x}{2E} l_x + \frac{E_y}{2E} l_y - E l - \cos 2\theta n.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.21}$$

Let us denote $a = \cos 2\theta$, $b = \sin 2\theta$ (a, b - const).

Now we shall consider an arbitrary x -line $c : l(x) = l(x, y_0)$. The curve c is a circle if and only if its Frenet curvatures are $\varkappa = \text{const}$; $\tau = \sigma = 0$. Using (2.21) we calculate the tangent vector t_c and the principal normal vector n_c of c :

$$t_c = \frac{l_x}{\sqrt{E}}; \quad n_c = \frac{1}{\varkappa} \left(-\frac{E_y}{2E^2} l_y - l + \frac{a}{E} n \right),$$

where $\varkappa^2 = \frac{E_y^2}{4E^3} + 1 + \frac{a^2}{E^2}$. The derivatives of t_c and n_c are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 t'_c &= \varkappa n_c; \\
 n'_c &= -\varkappa t_c - \left[\left(\frac{1}{\varkappa} \right)' \frac{E_y}{2E^2} + \frac{1}{\varkappa} \left(\left(\frac{E_y}{2E^2} \right)_x + \frac{E_x E_y}{4E^3} + \frac{a b}{E^2} \right) \right] \frac{l_y}{\sqrt{E}} \\
 &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{\varkappa} \right)' \frac{l}{\sqrt{E}} + \left[\left(\frac{1}{\varkappa} \right)' \frac{a}{E} + \frac{1}{\varkappa} \left(-b \frac{E_y}{2E^2} + \left(\frac{a}{E} \right)_x \right) \right] \frac{n}{\sqrt{E}}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.22}$$

From (2.22) it follows that c is a circle if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varkappa &= \text{const}; \\
 \left(\frac{E_y}{2E^2} \right)_x + \frac{E_x E_y}{4E^3} + \frac{a b}{E^2} &= 0; \\
 \left(\frac{a}{E} \right)_x - b \frac{E_y}{2E^2} &= 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
 2aE_x + bE_y &= 0; \\
 2E E_{xy} - 3E_x E_y + 4a b E &= 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.23}$$

Analogously, the y -lines are circles if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 bE_x - 2aE_y &= 0; \\
 2E E_{xy} - 3E_x E_y - 4a b E &= 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.24}$$

From (2.20) we calculate

$$E_{xy} = -\sin \theta \cos \theta E_{uu} + \cos 2\theta E_{uv} + \sin \theta \cos \theta E_{vv}.$$

From the first equality of (2.23), using (2.20), we get $\cos^3 \theta E_u - \sin^3 \theta E_v = 0$. All solutions of this equation are given by

$$E = \varphi(\sin^3 \theta u + \cos^3 \theta v)$$

for arbitrary smooth function φ . Hence, $E_u = \sin^3 \theta \varphi'$; $E_v = \cos^3 \theta \varphi'$; $E_{uu} = \sin^6 \theta \varphi''$; $E_{vv} = \cos^6 \theta \varphi''$; $E_{uv} = \sin^3 \theta \cos^3 \theta \varphi''$. From the second equality of (2.23), using (2.25) and

(2.26) we obtain $\sin 2\theta \cos 2\theta(\varphi\varphi'' - \frac{3}{2}\varphi'^2 - 4\varphi) = 0$. Consequently, the x -lines are circles if and only if

$$(2.27) \quad \begin{aligned} E &= \varphi(\sin^3 \theta u + \cos^3 \theta v); \\ \sin 2\theta \cos 2\theta(\varphi\varphi'' - \frac{3}{2}\varphi'^2 - 4\varphi) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, using (2.24) we obtain that the y -lines are circles if and only if

$$(2.28) \quad \begin{aligned} E &= \varphi(\cos^3 \theta u - \sin^3 \theta v); \\ \sin 2\theta \cos 2\theta(\varphi\varphi'' - \frac{3}{2}\varphi'^2 - 4\varphi) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the condition the x -lines (or y -lines) to be circles leads to the following cases:

I. $\sin 2\theta = 0$, i.e. $\theta = 0$ or $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

This case corresponds to $x = u$; $y = v$ or $x = v$; $y = -u$. From (2.27) and (2.28) we obtain that the u -lines are circles if and only if $E = \varphi(v)$, and the v -lines are circles if and only if $E = \varphi(u)$. In this case one of the families of principal lines is a family of circles.

II. $\cos 2\theta = 0$, i.e. $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$ or $\theta = \frac{3\pi}{4}$.

This case corresponds to $x = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(u+v)$; $y = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(-u+v)$ or $x = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(-u+v)$; $y = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(u+v)$. From (2.27) and (2.28) we obtain that the x -lines are circles if and only if $E = \varphi(x)$, and the y -lines are circles if and only if $E = \varphi(y)$. In this case one of the families of bisectrices of the principal lines is a family of circles.

III. $\varphi\varphi'' - \frac{3}{2}\varphi'^2 - 4\varphi = 0$, and $\sin 2\theta \cos 2\theta \neq 0$.

We shall prove that this case is not possible. Since $E > 0$ then $E = \varphi(\tau) = e^{z(\tau)}$ for some function $z = z(\tau)$, $\tau = \sin^3 \theta u + \cos^3 \theta v$ (or $\tau = \cos^3 \theta u - \sin^3 \theta v$). Moreover, $E \neq \text{const}$, i.e. $z'(\tau) \neq 0$. The equality $\varphi\varphi'' - \frac{3}{2}\varphi'^2 - 4\varphi = 0$ implies

$$(2.29) \quad z'' - \frac{1}{2}z'^2 - 4e^{-z} = 0.$$

On the other hand, using identity (2.6), we obtain

$$(2.30) \quad (\cos^6 \theta + \sin^6 \theta)z'' + 4 \sinh z = 0.$$

Let us denote $\lambda = \cos^6 \theta + \sin^6 \theta = \text{const}$. Multiplying (2.30) by z' and integrating we get

$$\frac{\lambda}{2}z'^2(\tau) + 4 \cosh z(\tau) = \frac{\lambda}{2}z'^2(0) + 4 \cosh z(0).$$

Equalities (2.29) and (2.30) imply

$$4 \sinh z + \frac{\lambda}{2}z'^2 + 4\lambda e^{-z} = 0.$$

Using the last two equalities we obtain

$$4(1 - \lambda)e^{-z} = \frac{\lambda}{2}z'^2(0) + 4 \cosh z(0) = \text{const}.$$

Since $1 - \lambda = 3 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \neq 0$, we get $e^{-z(\tau)} = \text{const}$, i.e. $z(\tau) = \text{const}$, which contradicts the condition $z'(\tau) \neq 0$, i.e. $E \neq \text{const}$. $\square$

From Theorem 2.2 it follows that there are only two types of generalized tori in S^3 : the first one is characterized by the condition that one of the families of bisectrices of the principal

lines is a family of circles (we shall call such surfaces *generalized tori of first type*), and the second one is characterized by the condition that one of the families of principal lines is a family of circles (we shall call these surfaces *generalized tori of second type*).

Theorem 2.3. *Let M^2 be a generalized torus of first type with non-constant Gauss curvature. Then M^2 is a Lawson torus $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$.*

Proof. Let M^2 be a generalized torus of first type with non-constant Gauss curvature. In this case the derivative formulas (2.4) hold, with $a = 0$; $b = 1$, and $E = E(u)$ (or $E = E(v)$). We shall consider only the first case, i.e. $E = E(u)$, $E_u \neq 0$. The second one can be investigated analogously. The derivative formulas in this case look like:

$$(2.31) \quad \begin{aligned} l_{uu} &= \frac{E_u}{2E} l_u - E l; & n_u &= -\frac{1}{E} l_v; \\ l_{uv} &= \frac{E_u}{2E} l_v + n; & n_v &= -\frac{1}{E} l_u; \\ l_{vv} &= -\frac{E_u}{2E} l_u - E l; \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove that the parametric u -lines are great circles. Let $c : c(u) = l(u, v_0)$, $v_0 = \text{const}$ be an arbitrary u -line. From (2.31) it follows that $\dot{c} = l_u$, and the tangent vector t_c of c is $t_c = c' = \frac{\dot{c}}{\dot{s}} = \frac{l_u}{\sqrt{E}}$. We calculate $t'_c = \frac{\dot{t}_c}{\dot{s}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} \left(\frac{l_{uu}}{\sqrt{E}} - \frac{E_u}{2E\sqrt{E}} l_u \right) = -l$. Hence, the curvature $\varkappa$ of c is $\varkappa = 1$, i.e. c is a great circle. Consequently, M^2 is a one-parameter family of great circles. According to [10, Prop. 7.2] M^2 is on open submanifold of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$. $\square$

Now we shall consider a generalized torus of second type with non-constant Gauss curvature. In this case the derivative formulas (2.4) hold, with $a = 1$; $b = 0$, and $E = E(u)$ (or $E = E(v)$). We consider the case $E = E(u)$, $E_u \neq 0$. In this case the parametric v -lines are circles. We shall prove that the different v -lines are circles with different radius.

Now the derivative formulas are as follows:

$$(2.32) \quad \begin{aligned} l_{uu} &= \frac{E_u}{2E} l_u - E l + n; & n_u &= -\frac{1}{E} l_u; \\ l_{uv} &= \frac{E_u}{2E} l_v; & n_v &= \frac{1}{E} l_v; \\ l_{vv} &= -\frac{E_u}{2E} l_u - E l - n; \end{aligned}$$

Let $c : c(v) = l(u_0, v)$, $u_0 = \text{const}$ be an arbitrary v -line. As in the proof of Theorem

2.3, from (2.32) we calculate the curvature $\varkappa(u_0)$ of c : $\varkappa(u_0) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{E_u^2(u_0)}{4E^3(u_0)} + \frac{1}{E^2(u_0)}}$.

For different values of the constant u_0 the curvatures $\varkappa(u_0)$ are different. We note that $\varkappa(u_0) > 1$, i.e. the circles are not great ones. Therefore, the generalized tori of second type are different from the Lawson tori.

Since $E(u) > 0$, we write $E(u)$ in the form $E(u) = e^{z(u)}$, $z(u) \neq \text{const}$. Then the system (2.32) is rewritten in the following form

$$(2.33) \quad \begin{aligned} l_{uu} - \frac{z'(u)}{2} l_u + e^{z(u)} l - n &= 0; & n_u + e^{-z(u)} l_u &= 0; \\ l_{uv} - \frac{z'(u)}{2} l_v &= 0; & n_v - e^{-z(u)} l_v &= 0; \\ l_{vv} + \frac{z'(u)}{2} l_u + e^{z(u)} l + n &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We look for classical solutions of the above system for the vector-valued functions $l(u, v)$, $l_u(u, v)$, $l_v(u, v)$ and $n(u, v)$ in a neighbourhood of the origin under the following initial conditions:

$$(2.34) \quad \begin{aligned} l(0, 0) &= e_1; & l_v(0, 0) &= e^{\frac{s}{2}} e_3 = \sqrt{E(0)} e_3; \\ l_u(0, 0) &= e^{\frac{s}{2}} e_2 = \sqrt{E(0)} e_2; & n(0, 0) &= e_4, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ is the standard orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^4$, and $s = \text{const} = z(0) = \ln E(0)$.

Since the function $E(u)$ satisfies identity (2.6) with $a = 1$, $b = 0$, it follows that $z(u)$ is the solution of the following ordinary differential equation

$$(2.35) \quad z''(u) + 4 \sinh z(u) = 0; \quad z(0) = s; \quad z'(0) = 2t,$$

where s and t are arbitrary constants.

In order to find explicitly $z(u)$ we note that the identity (2.6) holds for an arbitrary minimal surface in S^3 , parameterized by isothermal parameters. So, let us consider again the Lawson torus $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$, defined by (2.9). We change the parameters (x, y) by new parameters (u, v) in the following way

$$u = h(x) = \sqrt{\alpha} \int_0^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 \cos^2 \tau + \sin^2 \tau}} d\tau; \quad v = \sqrt{\alpha} y,$$

and denote by h^{-1} the inverse function of h . Then we obtain an isothermal parametrization of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$, and the function

$$E(u) = e^{z(u)} = \frac{\alpha^2 \cos^2 h^{-1}(u) + \sin^2 h^{-1}(u)}{\alpha}$$

satisfies (2.6) with $a = 0$; $b = 1$. Hence the function

$$(2.36) \quad z(u) = \ln \frac{\alpha^2 \cos^2 h^{-1}(u) + \sin^2 h^{-1}(u)}{\alpha}$$

is a solution of (2.35) with $t = 0$ and $s = \ln \alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$. (It can be calculated directly that the function $z(u)$, defined by (2.36) satisfies (2.35) with $z(0) = \ln \alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$ and $z'(0) = 0$.)

We will prove that every solution $\tilde{z}(u)$ of (2.35) with arbitrary t and s can be obtained from (2.36) by the formula $\tilde{z}(u) = z(u + u_0)$ for a suitable choice of constants u_0 and α . Since (2.35) is an autonomous equation and $z(u)$ is its solution, then it follows that $\tilde{z}(u) = z(u + u_0)$ is also a solution of this equation. Therefore we have to check only the initial conditions. Let $x_0 = h^{-1}(u_0)$. Simple calculations give us the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{z}(0) &= z(u_0) = \ln \frac{\alpha^2 \cos^2 x_0 + \sin^2 x_0}{\alpha} = s; \\ \tilde{z}'(0) &= z'(u_0) = \frac{(1 - \alpha^2) \sin 2x_0}{\sqrt{\alpha} \sqrt{\alpha^2 \cos^2 x_0 + \sin^2 x_0}} = 2t, \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$\sin 2x_0 = \frac{2\alpha t e^{\frac{s}{2}}}{1 - \alpha^2}; \quad \cos 2x_0 = \frac{1 + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha e^s}{1 - \alpha^2}.$$

Using that $\sin^2 2x_0 + \cos^2 2x_0 = 1$, we get the following equation for α :

$$e^s \alpha^2 - (1 + e^{2s} + t^2 e^s) \alpha + e^s = 0,$$

whose positive solutions are

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + e^{2s} + t^2 e^s \pm \sqrt{(1 + e^{2s} + t^2 e^s)^2 - 4e^{2s}}}{2e^s}.$$

For the above choice of α and $u_0 = h(x_0) = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{2\alpha t e^{\frac{s}{2}}}{1 + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha e^s}$ the initial conditions are satisfied.

It is curious to mention that all solutions of (2.35) are periodic ones with period $\omega = h(\pi)$.

In order to simplify system (2.33) we change the vector-function $l(u, v)$ with vector-function $L(u, v)$ determined by

$$(2.37) \quad l(u, v) = e^{\frac{z(u)}{2}} L(u, v).$$

We get the system

$$(2.38) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{uu}(u, v) + \frac{z'(u)}{2} L_u(u, v) + e^{-z(u)} L(u, v) - e^{-\frac{z(u)}{2}} n &= 0; \\ L_{uv}(u, v) &= 0; \\ L_{vv}(u, v) + \frac{z'(u)}{2} L_u(u, v) + \left[\left(\frac{z'(u)}{2} \right)^2 + e^{z(u)} \right] L(u, v) + e^{-\frac{z(u)}{2}} n &= 0; \\ n_u + e^{-\frac{z(u)}{2}} \left(L_u + \frac{z'(u)}{2} L \right) &= 0; \\ n_v - e^{-\frac{z(u)}{2}} L_v &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The initial conditions (2.34) for $l(u, v)$ imply the following initial conditions for $L(u, v)$:

$$\begin{aligned} L(0, 0) &= e^{-\frac{s}{2}} e_1; & L_v(0, 0) &= e_3; \\ L_u(0, 0) &= -t e^{-\frac{s}{2}} e_1 + e_2; & n(0, 0) &= e_4. \end{aligned}$$

From the second equality in (2.38) it follows that $L(u, v) = f(u) + g(v)$, where $f(u)$ and $g(v)$ are vector-functions, satisfying the system

$$(2.39) \quad \begin{aligned} f''(u) + \frac{z'(u)}{2} f'(u) + e^{-z(u)} (f(u) + g(v)) - e^{-\frac{z(u)}{2}} n &= 0; \\ g''(v) + \frac{z'(u)}{2} f'(u) + \left[\left(\frac{z'(u)}{2} \right)^2 + e^{z(u)} \right] (f(u) + g(v)) + e^{-\frac{z(u)}{2}} n &= 0; \\ n_u + e^{-\frac{z(u)}{2}} \left(f'(u) + \frac{z'(u)}{2} (f(u) + g(v)) \right) &= 0; \\ n_v - e^{-\frac{z(u)}{2}} g'(v) &= 0; \\ f'(0) = -t e^{-\frac{s}{2}} e_1 + e_2; & \quad g'(0) = e_3; & \quad f(0) + g(0) = e^{-\frac{s}{2}} e_1. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality we assume that $g(0) = 0$; $f(0) = e^{-\frac{s}{2}} e_1$.

Let us fix $u = 0$ in the fourth equality of (2.39). Then after integration we get

$$n(0, v) = e^{-\frac{s}{2}}g(v) + e_4.$$

Now using the second equality of (2.39) we obtain that $g(v)$ satisfies the initial problem

$$\begin{aligned} g''(v) + (t^2 + 2 \cosh s)g(v) &= -e^{\frac{s}{2}}e_1 - te_2 - e^{-\frac{s}{2}}e_4; \\ g(0) &= 0; \quad g'(0) = e_3. \end{aligned}$$

Simple computations give us

$$(2.40) \quad \begin{aligned} g(v) &= \frac{1}{t^2 + 2 \cosh s} (\cos \sqrt{t^2 + 2 \cosh s} v - 1) (e^{\frac{s}{2}}e_1 + te_2 + e^{-\frac{s}{2}}e_4) + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2 + 2 \cosh s}} \sin \sqrt{t^2 + 2 \cosh s} v e_3. \end{aligned}$$

Now, multiplying (2.35) with $z'(u)$ and integrating from 0 to u , we get that $z'(u)$ satisfies the equality

$$(2.41) \quad (z'(u))^2 + 8 \cosh z(u) = 4t^2 + 8 \cosh s.$$

Using the first and the second equality of (2.39), (2.40) and (2.41), setting $v = 0$ we obtain that $f(u)$ satisfies the initial problem:

$$\begin{aligned} f''(u) + z'(u)f'(u) + (t^2 + 2 \cosh s)f(u) &= e^{\frac{s}{2}}e_1 + te_2 + e^{-\frac{s}{2}}e_4; \\ f(0) &= e^{-\frac{s}{2}}e_1; \quad f'(0) = -te^{-\frac{s}{2}}e_1 + e_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the solution $f(u)$ of the above system can be written in the form

$$f(u) = p(u) + \frac{1}{t^2 + 2 \cosh s} (e^{\frac{s}{2}}e_1 + te_2 + e^{-\frac{s}{2}}e_4),$$

where $p(u)$ is the unique solution of the following linear homogenous system:

$$\begin{aligned} p''(u) + z'(u)p'(u) + (t^2 + 2 \cosh s)p(u) &= 0; \\ p(0) &= \frac{1}{t^2 + 2 \cosh s} [e^{-\frac{s}{2}}(t^2 + e^{-s})e_1 - te_2 - e^{-\frac{s}{2}}e_4]; \quad p'(0) = -te^{-\frac{s}{2}}e_1 + e_2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using (2.37) we obtain that the solution $l(u, v)$ of problem (2.33), (2.34) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} l(u, v) &= e^{\frac{z(u)}{2}}p(u) + \frac{e^{\frac{z(u)}{2}}}{t^2 + 2 \cosh s} \cos \sqrt{t^2 + 2 \cosh s} v (e^{\frac{s}{2}}e_1 + te_2 + e^{-\frac{s}{2}}e_4) + \\ &\quad \frac{e^{\frac{z(u)}{2}}}{\sqrt{t^2 + 2 \cosh s}} \sin \sqrt{t^2 + 2 \cosh s} v e_3. \end{aligned}$$

If we denote $\beta = \sqrt{t^2 + 2 \cosh s}$ ($\beta = \text{const}$), then $l(u, v)$ is rewritten in the form:

$$l(u, v) = e^{\frac{z(u)}{2}}p(u) + \frac{e^{\frac{z(u)}{2}}}{\beta^2} [\cos \beta v (e^{\frac{s}{2}}e_1 + te_2 + e^{-\frac{s}{2}}e_4) + \beta \sin \beta v e_3].$$

We remind that the function $z(u)$ is given explicitly by (2.36).

Thus we prove the following result:

Theorem 2.4. *Let $M^2 : l = l(u, v)$ be a generalized torus of second type with non-constant Gauss curvature. Then*

$$l(u, v) = e^{\frac{z(u)}{2}}p(u) + \frac{e^{\frac{z(u)}{2}}}{\beta^2} [\cos \beta v (e^{\frac{s}{2}}e_1 + te_2 + e^{-\frac{s}{2}}e_4) + \beta \sin \beta v e_3],$$

where $\beta = \sqrt{t^2 + 2 \cosh s}$ for arbitrary constants s and t , the scalar function $z(u)$ is the solution of the equation

$$z'' + 4 \sinh z(u) = 0; \quad z(0) = s; \quad z'(0) = 2t,$$

and is given explicitly by (2.36), $p(u)$ is a vector function, which is a solution of the system

$$(2.42) \quad \begin{aligned} p''(u) + z'(u)p'(u) + \beta^2 p(u) &= 0; \\ p(0) &= \frac{1}{\beta^2} [e^{-\frac{s}{2}}(t^2 + e^{-s})e_1 - te_2 - e^{-\frac{s}{2}}e_4]; \quad p'(0) = -te^{-\frac{s}{2}}e_1 + e_2, \end{aligned}$$

and e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 is the standard orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

3. APPLICATION TO THE THEORY OF MINIMAL FOLIATED SEMI-SYMMETRIC HYPERSURFACES

In this section we shall relate the theory of minimal surfaces in S^3 with the theory of minimal foliated semi-symmetric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

For an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) we denote by $T_p M^n$ the tangent space to M^n at a point $p \in M^n$ and by $\mathfrak{X} M^n$ - the algebra of all vector fields on M^n . The associated Levi-Civita connection of the metric g is denoted by ∇ , the Riemannian curvature tensor R is defined by

$$R(X, Y) = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]}; \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X} M^n.$$

A *semi-symmetric space* is a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , whose curvature tensor R satisfies the identity

$$R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$$

for all vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X} M^n$. (Here $R(X, Y)$ acts as a derivation on R).

According to the classification of Z. Szabó [15] the main class of semi-symmetric spaces is the class of all Riemannian manifolds foliated by Euclidean leaves of codimension two.

The foliated semi-symmetric hypersurfaces in Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ are the hypersurfaces of type number two, i.e. hypersurfaces whose rank of the second fundamental form is equal to two everywhere. They are characterized by a second fundamental form

$$h = \nu_1 \eta_1 \otimes \eta_1 + \nu_2 \eta_2 \otimes \eta_2, \quad \nu_1 \nu_2 \neq 0,$$

where η_1 and η_2 are unit one-forms, ν_1 and ν_2 are functions on the hypersurface M^n . The Euclidean leaves of the foliation are the integral submanifolds of the distribution Δ_0 , determined by the one-forms η_1 and η_2 , i.e. $\Delta_0(p) = \{X \in T_p M^n \mid \eta_1(X) = 0, \eta_2(X) = 0\}$, $p \in M^n$. A special class of foliated semi-symmetric hypersurfaces is the class of ruled hypersurfaces.

A hypersurface M^n of type number two is minimal if $\nu_1 + \nu_2 = 0$.

The foliated semi-symmetric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ are characterized in [3] by the following

Theorem 3.1. *A hypersurface M^n in Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ is locally a foliated semi-symmetric hypersurface if and only if it is the envelope of a two-parameter family of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$.*

Using the characterization of a foliated semi-symmetric hypersurface as the envelope of a two-parameter family of hyperplanes, each such hypersurface is determined by a pair of a unit vector-valued function $l(u, v)$ and a scalar function $r(u, v)$, defined in a domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

Since the vector fields l_u and l_v are linearly independent, then the vector-valued function $l(u, v)$ determines a two-dimensional surface $M^2 : l = l(u, v)$, $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}$ in $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$. Without loss of generality it can be assumed that the surface M^2 is parameterized locally by isothermal

parameters, i.e. $E = G$, $F = 0$. Then the generated foliated semi-symmetric hypersurface M^n is given in [4] by

$$(3.1) \quad X(u, v, w^\alpha) = r l + \frac{r_u}{E} l_u + \frac{r_v}{E} l_v + w^\alpha b_\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n-2,$$

where $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}$, $w^\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, n-2$, and $b_1(u, v), \dots, b_{n-2}(u, v)$, $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}$ are $n-2$ mutually orthogonal unit vectors, orthogonal to $\text{span}\{l, l_u, l_v\}$.

The minimal foliated semi-symmetric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ are characterized analytically in [4] by the following

Theorem 3.2. *Let M^n be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ which is the envelope of a two-parameter family of hyperplanes, determined by a unit vector-valued function $l(u, v)$, represented by isothermal parameters, and a scalar function $r(u, v)$. Then M^n is minimal if and only if $l(u, v)$ and $r(u, v)$ satisfy the equalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta l(u, v) + 2E(u, v) l(u, v) &= 0; \\ \Delta r(u, v) + 2E(u, v) r(u, v) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the minimal foliated semi-symmetric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$ are generated by the solutions of system (2.3), i.e. by the minimal surfaces in S^3 .

Now we shall construct examples of minimal foliated semi-symmetric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$, which are generated by some minimal surfaces in S^3 .

The simplest example of a minimal surface in S^3 is the sphere $S^2 = S^3 \cap \mathbb{R}^3$. We assume that $\mathbb{R}^3$ is the subspace of $\mathbb{R}^4$ orthogonal to e_4 , i.e. $\mathbb{R}^3 = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. An isothermal parametrization of S^2 is given by

$$S^2 : l(u, v) = \frac{1}{\cosh u} (\cos v; \sin v; \sinh u; 0).$$

A direct computation shows that $E = \langle l_u, l_u \rangle = \langle l_v, l_v \rangle = \frac{1}{\cosh^2 u}$, $F = \langle l_u, l_v \rangle = 0$ and obviously $l(u, v)$ satisfies the equality

$$\Delta l(u, v) + \frac{2}{\cosh^2 u} l(u, v) = 0.$$

The normal vector field $n(u, v)$ of S^2 is $n = e_4 = (0; 0; 0; 1)$. According to Theorem 3.2 the corresponding differential equation for the scalar function $r(u, v)$ is

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta r(u, v) + \frac{2}{\cosh^2 u} r(u, v) = 0.$$

Every solution $r(u, v)$ of (3.2) together with the sphere $S^2 : l = l(u, v)$ generate a minimal foliated semi-symmetric hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^4$ according to formula (3.1).

One solution of (3.2) is

$$r(u, v) = \left(v + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \tanh u.$$

Now let us consider the minimal foliated semi-symmetric hypersurface M^3 generated by $l(u, v)$ and this solution $r(u, v)$. Calculating r_u , r_v , l_u , l_v and applying formula (3.1), we obtain

$$M^3 : X(u, v, w) = \left(-\sinh u \sin v; \sinh u \cos v; v + \frac{\pi}{2}; w\right).$$

After the following change of the parameters $u^1 = \sinh u$; $t = v + \frac{\pi}{2}$, we obtain the hyper-surface

$$(3.3) \quad M^3 : X(u^1, t, w) = u^1(\cos t e_1 + \sin t e_2) + t e_3 + w e_4.$$

The hypersurface M^3 , whose radius-vector $X = X(u^1, t, w)$ is determined by (3.3), is the so-called *generalized helicoidal ruled hypersurface*, obtained by G. Aumann (see [1, Theorem 4]). It is called also a *first type helicoid* in $\mathbb{R}^4$. It is a generalization of the *right helicoid* in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

The next well-known example of a minimal surface in S^3 is the Clifford torus

$$\mathcal{M} : l(u, v) = (\cos u \cos v; \cos u \sin v; \sin u \cos v; \sin u \sin v).$$

The normal vector field $n(u, v)$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is

$$n(u, v) = (\sin u \sin v; -\sin u \cos v; -\cos u \sin v; \cos u \cos v).$$

Since $E = \langle l_u, l_u \rangle = \langle l_v, l_v \rangle = 1$, then according to Theorem 3.2 the corresponding equation for the scalar function $r(u, v)$ is

$$(3.4) \quad \Delta r(u, v) + 2r(u, v) = 0.$$

If we take the trivial solution $r(u, v) = 0$ of (3.4), we get the following minimal foliated semi-symmetric hypersurface

$$M^3 : X(u, v, w) = w n(u, v) = w(\sin u \sin v; -\sin u \cos v; -\cos u \sin v; \cos u \cos v).$$

After the following change of the parameters $u^1 = -w \sin u$; $u^2 = w \cos u$; $t = v + \frac{\pi}{2}$, we obtain the hypersurface

$$(3.5) \quad M^3 : X(u^1, u^2, t) = u^1(\cos t e_1 + \sin t e_2) + u^2(\cos t e_3 + \sin t e_4).$$

The hypersurface M^3 , whose radius-vector $X = X(u^1, u^2, t)$ is determined by (3.5), is the minimal ruled hypersurface obtained by G. Aumann in [1, Theorem 1]. This hypersurface is known as a *second type helicoid*. The first and the second type helicoids are the only minimal ruled hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$ (see [1]).

Thus we showed that the first type helicoid is generated by the sphere S^2 in S^3 , while the second type helicoid is generated by the Clifford torus.

Our scheme of constructing minimal foliated semi-symmetric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$ can be applied to each minimal surface $M^2 : l = l(u, v)$ in S^3 and each solution of the corresponding differential equation for the scalar function $r(u, v)$.

We shall illustrate how this construction can be applied to the generalized torus of second type, given in Theorem 2.4, in the special case when $t = 0$, $s = \ln \alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$. Since the calculations are too long and complicated, we give only a short sketch of the construction. In this case the solution $l(u, v)$ is defined by

$$l(u, v) = f(u) \left[p(u) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2 + 1} \cos \beta v \left(\sqrt{\alpha} e_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} e_4 \right) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2 + 1}} \sin \beta v e_3 \right],$$

where $f(u) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2 \cos^2 h^{-1}(u) + \sin^2 h^{-1}(u)}{\alpha}}$, $h^{-1}(u)$ is the inverse function of h given on

page 10, $p(u)$ is the solution of system (2.42), and $\beta = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2 + 1}{\alpha}}$. As a solution of the

corresponding differential equation for $r(u, v)$ we choose $r(u, v) = f(u) \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2 + 1}} \sin \beta v$. We calculate $l_u(u, v)$, $l_v(u, v)$, and using (2.33) and (2.40) we find the vector-valued function

$n(u, v)$:

$$\begin{aligned} n(u, v) = & \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha(\alpha^2 + 1)}(e_1 - \alpha e_4) + \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha^2 + 1)f(u)} \cos \beta v \left(\sqrt{\alpha} e_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} e_4 \right) \\ & + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2 + 1}} \frac{1}{f(u)} \sin \beta v e_3 - \frac{p(u)}{f(u)} - \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\alpha} \int_0^u \frac{\sin 2h^{-1}(s)}{f^2(s)} p(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying formula (3.1) we obtain the following minimal foliated semi-symmetric hyper-surface M^3 :

$$\begin{aligned} X(u, v, w) = & \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2\sqrt{\alpha(\alpha^2 + 1)}} \frac{\sin 2h^{-1}(u)}{f(u)} \sin \beta v p'(u) \\ & + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2 + 1}} \frac{\alpha^4 \cos^2 h^{-1}(u) + \sin^2 h^{-1}(u)}{\alpha^2 f^2(u)} \sin \beta v p(u) \\ & - \left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2 + 1}} \right)^3 \frac{1}{2f^2(u)} \sin 2\beta v \left(\sqrt{\alpha} e_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} e_4 \right) \\ & + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha^2 + 1)f^2(u)} \sin^2 \beta v \right) e_3 + w n(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Unfortunately, in this case we cannot write by means of elementary functions the hyper-surface M^3 as in the previous examples, because we cannot find an explicit solution $p(u)$ of linear system (2.42).

Acknowledgements: The second author is partially supported by "L. Karavelov" Civil Engineering Higher School, Sofia, Bulgaria under Contract No 10/2009.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aumann G., *Die Minimalhyperregelflächen*. Mh. Math., **34** (1981), 293-304.
- [2] Enneper A., *Die cyklischen Flächen*. Z. Math. Phys. **14** (1869), 393-421.
- [3] Ganchev G. and Milousheva V., *A generation of foliated semi-symmetric hypersurfaces in the four-dimensional Euclidean space*. Mathematica Balkanica, **21** (2007) 1-2, 97-111.
- [4] Ganchev G. and Milousheva V., *An analytic characterization of the minimal and the bi-umbilical foliated semi-symmetric hypersurfaces in Euclidean space*. C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci., **60** (2007) 6, 601-606.
- [5] Giaguinta M., Modica G. and Souček J., *Cartesian currents in the calculus of variations, I and II*. Berlin, Springer, 1998.
- [6] Hildebrandt S. *Nonlinear elliptic systems and harmonic mappings*. Proc. Beijing Symp. on Differential Geometry and Differential Equations, 1980.
- [7] Jost J., *Harmonic maps between surfaces (Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1062)*, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [8] Jost J., *Two-dimensional geometric variational problems*. Wiley-Interscience, Chichester, 1991.
- [9] Lawson H.B., Jr. *Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces*. Ann. of Math., **89** (1969), 187-197.
- [10] Lawson H.B., Jr. *Complete minimal surfaces in S^3* . Ann. of Math., **92** (1970), 335-374.
- [11] López R., *Cyclic surfaces of constant Gauss curvature*. Houston Math. J. **27** (2001), 799-805.
- [12] Nitsche J., *Cyclic surfaces of constant mean curvature*. Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math. Phys. II **1** (1989), 1-5.
- [13] Riemann B., *Über die Flächen vom Kleinsten Inhalt bei gegebener Begrenzung*, Abh. Königl. Ges. Wissensch. Göttingen, Mathem. Cl. **13** (1868), 329-333.
- [14] Struwe M., *Plateau's problem and the Calculus of Variations*. Mathematical Notes 35. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1988.
- [15] Szabo Z., *Structure theorems on Riemannian spaces satisfying $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$, I. The local version*. J. Differ. Geom., **17** (1982), 531-582.

BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, ACAD. G. BONCHEV STR. BL. 8, 1113, SOFIA, BULGARIA; "L. KARAVELOV" CIVIL ENGINEERING HIGHER SCHOOL, 175 SUHODOLSKA STR., SOFIA, BULGARIA

E-mail address: `vmil@math.bas.bg`